

Project _____
 Grantee _____
 Sponsor _____
 Grant Number _____

Project Type Priorities					
Goal	Performance Standard	Project Type	Max Points	Data	Points Earned
Quickly moving homeless households to permanent housing	Project Component Type	Permanent Supportive Housing	10		
		Rapid Re-Housing	10		
		Coordinated Assessment	10		

Vulnerable Population Priorities					
Goal	Performance Standard	Evaluation Method	Max Points	Data	Points Earned
Project serves priority populations	Beds dedicated to chronically homeless households	# Chronically Homeless dedicated beds ÷ total project beds	10		
	100% of turnover beds dedicated or prioritized for the chronically homeless	# of family dedicated beds ÷ total project beds	3		
	Beds dedicated to families with children	# of family dedicated beds ÷ total project beds	7		
	Beds dedicated to veterans	# of veteran dedicated beds ÷ total # of beds	10		
	Beds dedicated to Youth(Under 25)	# of youth dedicated beds ÷ # total # of beds	10		
	Low-barrier Admission Criteria (Housing First Oriented)	Low-Barrier Admission Criteria (Housing First)	5		
	Low-barrier Termination Criteria (Housing First Oriented)	Low-Barrier Termination Criteria (Housing First)	5		

Project Performance Review					
Goal	Performance Standard	Evaluation Method	Max Points	Data	Points Earned
Reduce length of homelessness and/or promotes stability in permanent housing (PH)	<10% of exiting households return to homelessness	# that return to homelessness ÷ # exiting	5		
	80% of households in PH remain housed (12 mos. PSH; 6 mos. RRH) or exit to PH	# of leavers to PH and of stayers ÷ total # served	5		
Increase jobs, income and self-sufficiency*	20% households have earned income	# with earned income ÷ total # served	5		
	56% households get non-cash benefits	# with non-cash benefits ÷ total # served	5		
	85% of households maintain/increase overall income	# who mainain/increase income ÷ total # served	5		
	Program utilization rates at 85% or higher	# of persons served ÷ proposed number of persons from most recent application & HMIS/other bed utilization rate	5		

Program Compliance Review					
Goal	Performance Standard	Evaluation Method	Max Points	Data	Points Earned
Effective Use of Federal Funds	Program draws down HUD funds at least quarterly	4 drawdowns in 12 month period from eLOCCS	5		
	Less than 15% of program funds returned on annual basis or acceptable explanation	Funds returned divided by funds awarded from HUD closeout certification	5		
	APR submitted in a timely fashion (within 3 months of operating year end date)	APR submission date in relation to program operating year end date	5		
	Program provides adequate staff training for services and program operation	Explanation of staffing level for program services and operation	5		
	Program leverages 100% of HUD request	Documentation of letters of leveraging	5		
	Project Match requirement met	Letter of commitment for eligible match	5		
Program meets HUD regulations	Program record keeping meets HUD requirements: Clients meet homeless eligibility & disability documentation requirements. services provided, annual income updates completed, positive discharges	Desk Monitoring Review, Program Policies & Procedures	10		

HMIS Participation Review					
Goal	Performance Standard	Evaluation Method	Max Points	Data	Points Earned
Full participation in HMIS	HMIS data quality measure – less than 14% of Universal Data Elements are missing	HMIS Review	5		

Collaboration Review					
Goal	Performance Standard	Evaluation Method	Max Points	Data	Points Earned
Local Application Accuracy and timeliness	Application completed accurately, submitted on-time	Submission tracking, CPAC	5		
Active participant in CoC/CEAS	Agency will be active participant in scheduled CoC meetings or subcommittees	CoC Meeting attendance divided by total number of meetings held, provision of project eligibility and referral information for coordinated assessment planning	5		

Summary Performance			
	Maximum Points	Points Earned	% of Points Earned
Project Type Priorities	10		
Vulnerable Population Priorities	50		
Project Performance Review	30		
Program Compliance Review	40		
HMIS Participation Review	5		
Collaboration Review	10		
Attends Mandatory Technical Assistance Session	5		
Total Possible Score for Projects	150		

*Projects serving 85% chronically homeless or SSI/SSD clients exempt from earned income measure