

Project _____
 Grantee _____
 Sponsor _____

Project Type Priorities					
Goal	Performance Standard	Project Type	Max Points	Data	Points Earned
Quickly moving homeless households to permanent housing	Project Component Type	Permanent Supportive Housing	10		
		Rapid Re-Housing	10		
		Coordinated Assessment	10		
Open to full CoC geographic area	Serves Camden, Cape May, Cumberland, Gloucester	Regional	5		

Vulnerable Population Priorities					
Goal	Performance Standard	Evaluation Method	Max Points	Data	Points Earned
Project serves priority populations	Beds dedicated to chronically homeless households	# Chronically Homeless dedicated beds ÷ total project beds	10		
	100% of turnover beds dedicated or prioritized for the chronically homeless	# of family dedicated beds ÷ total project beds	3		
	Beds dedicated to families with children	# of family dedicated beds ÷ total project beds	7		
	Beds dedicated to veterans	# of veteran dedicated beds ÷ # total # of beds	10		
	Beds dedicated to Youth(Under 25)	# of youth dedicated beds ÷ # total # of beds	10		
	Low-barrier Admission Criteria (Housing First Oriented)	Low-Barrier Admission Criteria (Housing First)	5		
	Low-barrier Termination Criteria (Housing First Oriented)	Low-Barrier Termination Criteria (Housing First)	5		

Program Compliance Review					
Goal	Performance Standard	Evaluation Method	Max Points	Data	Points Earned
Effective Use of Federal Funds	Proven capacity in successfully administering HUD funds	Past performance with HUD funds, audit findings	5		
	Proven capacity to enter data into HMIS	Past performance, HMIS review	5		
	Proven capacity to report in e-snaps	Past performance, e-snaps review	5		
	Adequate financial capacity	Administration staffing, agency audit	5		
	Program provides adequate staff training for services and program operation	Explanation of staffing level for program services and operation	5		
	Program leverages 100% of HUD request	Documentation of letters of leveraging	5		
	Project Match requirement met	Letter of commitment for eligible match	5		

Collaboration Review					
Goal	Performance Standard	Evaluation Method	Max Points	Data	Points Earned
Local Application Accuracy and timeliness	Application completed accurately, submitted on-time	Submission tracking, CPAC	5		
	Application budget accurate and reasonable	Submission tracking, CPAC	5		
Active participant in CoC/CEAS	Agency will be active participant in scheduled CoC meetings or subcommittees	CoC Meeting attendance divided by total number of meetings held	5		

Summary Performance			
	Maximum Points	Points Earned	% of Points Earned
Project Type Priorities	15		
Vulnerable Population Priorities	50		
Program Compliance Review	35		
Collaboration Review	15		
Attends Mandatory Technical Assistance Session	5		
Total Possible Score for Projects	120		